

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

		and the second s			
APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,795	6,795 02/28/2002		Jonathan Foote	FXPL-1033US0 MCF/SRB	8973
23910	7590	05/27/2004		EXAM	INER
FLIESLEF		•		SMITH, ARTHUR A	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/086,795	FOOTE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Arthur A Smith	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespond nce address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:		•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	•			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
_		•			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner does not find the applicant's arguments persuasive in overcoming the previous rejection based upon the Alexander reference.

RUSSELL ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

45 600